Towards protecting quantum correlations in presence of noisy channel: the two-qubit case

Suchetana Goswami, Sibasish Ghosh, Archan S. Majumdar

Centre of New Technologies, University of Warsaw, Banacha 2c, 02-097 Warszawa, Poland The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, CIT Campus, Taramani, Chennai 600113, India S.N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences, Salt Lake, Kolkata 700106, India

The inseparable nature of quantum correlations play an important role as resources in different information processing tasks. These resources are in general vulnerable in presence of environmental noise. We show that it is possible to obtain advantage for entanglement and steering by employing some selective measurements before or after the environmental interaction with the system. This prescription of finding the suitable measurement operators has been introduced based on the unitary evolution of the corresponding channel and hence not unique, which leaves us with different choices.

DECOHERENCE MODEL AND QUANTUM CORRELA-TION

We consider Generalised amplitude damping channel (GADC), Kraus operators of which are given as,

Alice performs a black box measurement at her own side producing an assemblage at Bob's end given by,

$$
\sigma_B^{a|x} = Tr_A[(M_a^x \otimes \mathbf{I})\rho_{AB}] \tag{2}
$$

Now, Bob performs a qubit measurement on the assemblage and hence producing a correlation $p(ab|xy)$. This correlation is said to be steerable if it cannot be decomposed as a LHS model. For quantifying the steerability we consider the violation of a steering inequality, Analog CHSH inequality for steering:

 $\sqrt{\langle (A_0+A_1)B_0\rangle^2+\langle (A_0+A_1)B_1\rangle^2}+\sqrt{\langle (A_0-A_1)B_0\rangle^2+\langle (A_0-A_1)B_1\rangle^2}\leq 2$ (3) where, $\langle A_x B_y \rangle = \sum (-1)^{a \oplus b} p(ab \mid xy)$ (4)

a,b

 $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{b}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ Schoran of in the parallel state considered the parallel state considered the parallel below the parallel below the parallel state of $\frac{1}{2}$ being employed, where Green lines denote the limit of the violation of the ACH is made include the operator state state constant (c). Any general CPTP map has an operator-sum representation (or, Kraus representation) expressed as,

PARTIAL COLLAPSE MEASUREMENT

The detector detects the system with probability w if and only if the state of the second particle is in $|1\rangle$ (= $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 1 $\overline{}$). Here we consider the measurement operator corresponding to the scenario when the system is not detected by the measuring apparatus. The measurement operator W_0 corresponding to this situation can be evaluated by using the relation, $W_1^{\dagger}W_1 + W_0^{\dagger}W_0 = \mathbf{I}$. Hence,

with the Kraus operators L_i satisfying the condition, $\sum_{i=1}^M L_i^{\dagger} L_i = \textbf{I}.$ Alternatively, for every A (thus, A can also be a density matrix of S), one can write,

- All the column vectors of U_{SB} should be orthogonal to each other. - The individual columns must be normalised.

- The unitary should reproduce identity map in case of GADC, for $\nu = \eta = 1.$

$$
W_0 = |0\rangle\langle 0| + \sqrt{1 - w} |1\rangle\langle 1| = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{1 - w} \end{bmatrix}.
$$
 (5)

and the reverse measurement operator is,

with, $\left. J_i \right. = \left. _B \left\langle i \right| U_{SB}^{-1} \right.$ $\left\langle \mathcal{S}_{B}\right. \left| 1\right\rangle _{B}$ for $i=1,2,3,4.$ One set of measurement operators corresponding to the inverse unitary,

(i) Employing partial collapse measurement, (ii) Employing the technique of unitary dilation. ($\nu = 0.054$, $\eta = 0.551$)

- We introduce a general method to preserve certain types of quantum correlations in presence of environmental noise.
- The prescription is implementable whenever the dynamics of the channel is known.
- The unitary dynamics is not unique.
- Our aim is to choose such an U_{SB} (as well as σ (0) $\mathcal{B}^{(0)}$) such that:
- i) U_{SB} becomes $\mathbf{I}_S \otimes \mathbf{I}_B$ whenever N becomes the identity channel.

1.4

$$
R_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{1-r} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

Red curves denote the violation of ACHSH inequality, with the weak measurement and Black controlled and black controlled with the same with the same with the same with the technique Red curves denote the violation of ACHSH inequality, with the weak measurement and black compared the same with same with the same with the same with the same with the technique **UNITARY EVOLUTION OF THE CHANNEL**

(i) $\nu = 1$ i.e. ADC, (ii) $\nu = 0.234, \eta = 0.646$ i.e. GADC.

$$
\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} L_i \mathcal{A} L_i^{\dagger}
$$
 (7)

ii) $U_{SB}(\rho_S\!\otimes\!\sigma^{(0)}_B$ $\mathcal{L}_{B_s}^{(0)}|U_{SB}^{\dagger}$ is close to a product state of the form $Tr_{B}[U_{SB}(\rho_S\otimes$ σ (0) $\sigma_B^{(0)}) U_{SB}^{\dagger}] \otimes \sigma_B^{(1)}$ $\sigma_B^{(1)}$ where σ (1) $B^{\left(1\right)}$ is fixed state of the ancilla.

$$
\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}) = Tr_B[U_{SB}(\mathcal{A} \otimes |1\rangle_B \langle 1|)U_{SB}^{\dagger}].
$$
\n(8)

Our aim is to find a $dMxdM$ unitary matrix U_{SB} which corresponds to the map such that, $L_i = {}_B\left\langle i \right| U_{SB} \left| 1 \right\rangle_B, \forall i = 1,2,...,M,$ with d being the dimension of the system Hilbert space. Hence, the $(\alpha i, \beta 1)$ -entry of the matrix U_{SB} can be obtained in the following way,

$$
u_{\alpha i,\beta 1} \equiv (S \langle \alpha | \otimes B \langle i |) U_{SB} (|\beta \rangle_S \otimes |1 \rangle_B)
$$

= $S \langle \alpha | L_i | \beta \rangle_S$, $\forall \alpha, \beta = 1, 2, ..., d$. (9)

The Kraus operators corresponding to the channel described by the inverse unitary,

$$
Tr_B[U_{SB}^{\dagger}(\sigma_S \otimes |1\rangle_B \langle 1|)U_{SB}] = \sum_{i=1}^4 J_i \sigma_S J_i^{\dagger}, \qquad (10)
$$

$$
J_1^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\nu} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\eta \nu} \end{bmatrix}; \ J_2^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{\eta - \eta \nu}}{\sqrt{-\nu \eta + \eta + \nu}} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{\eta - \eta \nu} \end{bmatrix}
$$

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE ASPECTS

REFERENCES

1. A. Fujiwara, Phys. Rev. A **70** 012317 (2004). 2. A. Streltsov, R. Augusiak, M. Demianowicz, and M. Lewenstein, Phys. Rev. A **92**, 012335 (2015). 3. A. Streltsov, H. Kampermann and D. Bruß, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107** 170502 (2011).

4. S. Goswami, S. Ghosh and A. S. Majumdar, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **54** 045302 (2021).

